The Spatial Evolution of Young Massive Clusters

SFM

By Anne Buckner & the SFM Collaboration

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Talk Outline

- Young Massive Clusters
- Why study them?
- Stellar substructure
- INDICATE
- Spatial evolution of NGC3372



Young Massive Clusters (YMCs)

Building blocks of the Galaxy

Nurseries of OB-type stars

• Mass > $10^4 M_{\odot}$

• Age < 100Myr



NGC2264 / Image: ESO

Young Massive Clusters (YMCs)

Building blocks of the Galaxy

Nurseries of OB-type stars

• Mass > $10^4 M_{\odot}$

Age < 100Myr</p>

For talk: ages < 10Myr i.e. REALLY young!



NGC2264 / Image: ESO

• Picture of massive SF & early evolution is unclear



- Picture of massive SF & early evolution is unclear
 - Paucity of O-type stars
 - Short lifetimes
 - Natal nebulosity



- Picture of massive SF & early evolution is unclear
 - Paucity of O-type stars
 - Short lifetimes
 - Natal nebulosity
- 96% of O-type stars form in clusters (de Wit et al., 2005)



• Three models of massive SF:

(1) Monolithic Collapse
(2) Competitive Accretion
(3) Collisions & Mergers



• To distinguish \rightarrow constrain mechanisms that underlie star/cluster formation & evolution



• To distinguish \rightarrow constrain mechanisms that underlie star/cluster formation & evolution

(1) Stellar substructure



 To distinguish → constrain mechanisms that underlie star/cluster formation & evolution

- (1) Stellar substructure
- (2) Mass segregation



 To distinguish → constrain mechanisms that underlie star/cluster formation & evolution

- (1) Stellar substructure
- (2) Mass segregation
- (3) Star/gas dynamics



 To distinguish → constrain mechanisms that underlie star/cluster formation & evolution

(1) Stellar substructure

- (2) Mass segregation
- (3) Star/gas dynamics



• Sub-clustering of stars within cluster/SF region

Sub-clustering of stars within cluster/SF region



Sub-clustering of stars within cluster/SF region



Sub-clustering of stars within cluster/SF region



Tracing Substructure

Tracing Substructure

• Clustering algorithms



Neha Soni et al. (2012)

Tracing Substructure

Clustering algorithms



Tracing Substructure

Clustering algorithms

Not enough quantitative information!

Tracing Substructure??



Tracing Substructure??





INdex to Define Inherent Clustering And TEndencies

- INdex to Define Inherent Clustering And TEndencies
- Does not look for clusters

- INdex to Define Inherent Clustering And TEndencies
- Does not look for clusters
- Measures the *degree* of clustering for every star

- INdex to Define Inherent Clustering And TEndencies
- Does not look for clusters
- Measures the *degree* of clustering for every star
- Assigns an index to star

- INdex to Define Inherent Clustering And TEndencies
- Does not look for clusters
- Measures the *degree* of clustering for every star
- Assigns an index to star
- Higher index \rightarrow star is more clustered



Clustering Algorithms (example: k-means)





Let's do some...



Science
Carina Nebula a.k.a NGC3372



Image: Hubble Heritage



Buckner et al. (in review)



Q. How does the degree of clustering of stars vary across the region?

Buckner et al. (in review)



Q. How does the degree of clustering of stars vary across the region?

Buckner et al. (in review)

Q. How does the degree of clustering of OB stars vary across the region?



Q. How does the degree of clustering of OB stars vary across the region?

Buckner et al. (in review)

Q. How clustered are OB stars with other OB stars? Does it vary across the region?



Q. How clustered are OB stars with other OB stars? Does it vary across the region?





• INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs



• INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs



• INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs

Application to the Carina Nebula found:

(1) Stars in the NW and SE regions have significantly different clustering tendencies
 → may be a signature of earlier and later stages of star formation



• INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs

- (1) Stars in the NW and SE regions have significantly different clustering tendencies
 → may be a signature of earlier and later stages of star formation
- (2) OB stars follow the same trend but the differences in two regions is more pronounced



• INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs

- (1) Stars in the NW and SE regions have significantly different clustering tendencies
 → may be a signature of earlier and later stages of star formation
- (2) OB stars follow the same trend but the differences in two regions is more pronounced
- (3) Stellar concentrations are more frequent around massive stars than typical for the general population



INDICATE is a powerful new tool to probe substructure in YMCs

- (1) Stars in the NW and SE regions have significantly different clustering tendencies
 → may be a signature of earlier and later stages of star formation
- (2) OB stars follow the same trend but the differences in two regions is more pronounced
- (3) Stellar concentrations are more frequent around massive stars than typical for the general population
- (4) No primordial signatures of mass segregation in the SE region







Fig. 1. Demonstration of how INDICATE defines the index, $I_{j,NN}$, for a point. All points within a radius of \bar{r} of the selected point (marked in blue) are counted ($N_{\bar{r}}$) and compared to the number of points expected within the same radius in an evenly spaced uniform distribution with the same number density as the points parent sample (NN). The index of the blue point is calculated using Eq. 8 as (Left:) $I_5 = 4.0$ and (Right:) $I_5 = 0.6$.

$$I_{j,NN} = \frac{N_{\bar{r}}}{NN}$$